



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,781 08/29/2003		Michael J. Oister	43108.830007.US2	3431	
26582	7590 01/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HOLLAND & HART, LLP 555 17TH STREET, SUITE 3200 DENVER, CO 80201			CHIU, RALEIGH W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3711		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/652	2,781	OISTER ET AL.			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit			
		Raleigh	n Chiu	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or exte	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	e event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status		·					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 06 October 2	004.				
· '	•						
3)□	_						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,18 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	3 is/are: a) \square action to the drawing(sine correction is req	s) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have b ocuments have b the priority docu al Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in A ments have beer Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	е		
Attachmen	ut(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pomation No(s)/Mail Date			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,781 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the reconsideration to U.S. Patent Number 6,773,010 and newly-discovered U.S. Patent Number 5,242,164, the following rejections follow. The delay in the application of the patents is regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 3711

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,773,010 (Zucchi et al., hereinafter Zucchi).

Regarding claim 1, Figure 1 of Zucchi shows a tabletop game with a playing surface 40 and sidewall 28. Figures 7A-7C best shows playing surface 40 having a base 26. Playing surface 40 further is described to be capable of being semi-transparent in portions and/or printed upon. See column 4, lines 11-23. Because the playing surface is not completely transparent, light is inherently able to be reflected. To the extent that Zucchi fails to explicitly disclose an upper light source, one of ordinary skill in the art would realize the uselessness of playing the game in the dark; rooms are well-known in the art to have overhead lighting.

Regarding claim 2, Zucchi also discloses that portions of the playing surface can be transparent. Again, see column 4, lines 11-23. A transparent surface is considered to be light-transmissive and therefore non-reflective.

Regarding claim 3, Zucchi discloses an embodiment for a soccer game table. See column 3, lines 54-56.

Regarding claim 5, Zucchi discloses the combination of having different portions of the playing surface having different reflectivities, i.e., some portions transparent, some semi-transparent, colored, etc. Again, see column 4, lines 11-23.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a plurality of non-contiguous reflective surfaces on the Zucchi game, since applicants have not disclosed that having this specific arrangement solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears the game would perform equally well with the reflective surface arranged in any manner on the game base.

With further regard to claim 7, a transparent portion in the playing surface is considered to be light-transmissive.

Regarding claim 8, a completely non-transparent playing surface is considered to reflect light over the entire playing surface.

6. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,242,164 (Nicoll).

Page 5

Regarding claim 18, Figure 1 of Nicoll shows a tabletop game having a playing surface having a design 16 of a hockey rink. Nicoll also discloses a coating such as fluoropolymer covering the playing surface. See the bridging paragraph between columns 2-3. Because fluoropolymers are known to provide a glossy sheen, such a glossy surface is considered to correspond to the recited playing surface brightening means.

Regarding claim 20, the gloss of the polymer coating is also considered to inherently provide a level of reflectivity.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Application/Control Number: 10/652,781

Art Unit: 3711

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

Page 6

RWC:dei:feif
22 December 2004